Renormalization-group flow of the effective action of cosmological large-scale structures

Stefan Flörchinger

Brookhaven National Laboratory, December 2, 2016.



[Blas, Floerchinger, Garny, Tetradis & Wiedemann, JCAP 1511, 049 (2015)] [Floerchinger, Garny, Tetradis & Wiedemann, 1607.03453]

Big bang - little bang analogy



Cosmology

- cosmol. scale: MPc= 3.1×10^{22} m
- Gravity + QED + Dark sector
- one big event



Heavy ion collisions

- nuclear scale: $fm = 10^{-15} m$
- QCD
- very many events
- initial conditions not directly accessible
- all information must be reconstructed from final state
- dynamical description as a fluid on large scales

The dark matter fluid

Heavy ion collisions

$\mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{QCD}} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathsf{fluid properties}$

• Late time cosmology

fluid properties $\rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{dark matter}}$

• Until direct detection of dark matter, it can only be observed via

 $T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm dark\ matter}$

Relativistic fluid dynamics

Energy-momentum tensor and conserved current

$$\begin{split} T^{\mu\nu} &= (\epsilon + p + \pi_{\mathsf{bulk}}) u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + (p + \pi_{\mathsf{bulk}}) g^{\mu\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu} \\ N^{\mu} &= n \, u^{\mu} + \nu^{\mu} \end{split}$$

- \bullet tensor decomposition w. r. t. fluid velocity u^{μ}
- pressure $p = p(\epsilon, n)$
- close-to-equilibrium: constitutive relations from derivative expansion
 - bulk viscous pressure $\pi_{\mathsf{bulk}} = -\zeta \
 abla_{\mu} u^{\mu} + \dots$
 - shear stress $\pi^{\mu\nu} = -\eta \left[\Delta^{\mu\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} u^{\nu} + \Delta^{\nu\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} u^{\mu} \frac{2}{3} \Delta^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\alpha} u^{\alpha} \right] + \dots$
 - diffusion current $\nu^{\alpha} = -\kappa \left[\frac{nT}{\epsilon+p}\right]^2 \Delta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\beta} \left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right) + \dots$
- more general: dynamical equations for π_{bulk} , $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ and ν^{μ}

$$au_{\mathsf{bulk}} u^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \pi_{\mathsf{bulk}} + \pi_{\mathsf{bulk}} = -\zeta \ \nabla_{\mu} u^{\mu} + \dots$$

Fluid dynamic equations for ϵ,n and u^{μ} from covariant conservation laws

$$\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad \nabla_{\mu}N^{\mu} = 0.$$

Fluid dynamics



- Long distances, long times or strong enough interactions
- Needs macroscopic fluid properties
 - equation of state $p(\epsilon, n)$
 - shear viscosity $\eta(\epsilon, n)$
 - bulk viscosity $\zeta(\epsilon, n)$
 - heat conductivity $\kappa(\epsilon, n)$
 - relaxation times, ...
- For QCD no full *ab initio* calculation of transport properties possible yet but in principle fixed by **microscopic** properties encoded in \mathscr{L}_{QCD}
- Ongoing experimental and theoretical effort to understand this in detail

Ideal fluid versus collision-less gas

• Many codes used in cosmology describe dark matter as ideal, cold and pressure-less fluid

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon \ u^{\mu}u^{\nu}$$

- Equation of state p = 0
- No shear stress and bulk viscous pressure $\pi^{\mu\nu} = \pi_{\text{bulk}} = 0$
- Dark matter is also modeled as **collision-less gas** of massive particles, interacting via gravity only
- Two pictures are in general not consistent

Collision-less, cold dark matter

• Collision-less gas in curved space

$$p^{\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}f(x,\vec{p}) - \Gamma^{j}_{\mu\nu}p^{\mu}p^{\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^{j}}f(x,\vec{p}) = 0$$

• For massive particles in non-relativistic limit, Vlasov equation

$$\left(\partial_t + \frac{p_j}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)f(x,\vec{p}) - \left(m\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\phi(x)\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}f(x,\vec{p}) = 0$$

with

$$\Delta\phi(x) = 4\pi G_{\mathsf{N}} m \int_{\vec{p}} f(x, \vec{p})$$

Moments of distribution function

• mass density

$$\rho(x) = \int_{\vec{p}} m f(x, \vec{p})$$

momentum density

$$\rho(x)v_j(x) = \int_{\vec{p}} p_j f(x, \vec{p})$$

• energy density

$$\varepsilon(x) = \int_{\vec{p}} \frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{\vec{p}}{m} - \vec{v}(x)\right)^2 f(x, \vec{p})$$

heat current

$$q_j(x) = \int_{\vec{p}} \frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{\vec{p}}{m} - \vec{v}(x)\right)^2 \frac{p_j}{m} f(x, \vec{p})$$

• shear stress

$$T_{jk}(x) = m \int_{\vec{p}} \left(\frac{p_j}{m} - v_j(x)\right) \left(\frac{p_k}{m} - v_k(x)\right) f(x, \vec{p})$$

• higher order moments

$$T_{jkl}(x) = m \int_{\vec{p}} \left(\frac{p_j}{m} - v_j(x)\right) \left(\frac{p_k}{m} - v_k(x)\right) \left(\frac{p_l}{m} - v_l(x)\right) f(x, \vec{p})$$

Moments of Vlasov's equation

Conservation of mass

$$\partial_t \rho + v_j \partial_j \rho + \rho \partial_j v_j = 0,$$

Conservation of momentum

$$\rho \left(\partial_t + v_j \partial_j\right) v_k + \partial_j T_{jk} = -\rho \,\partial_k \phi$$

Conservation of internal energy

$$\left(\partial_t + v_j \partial_j\right)\varepsilon + \varepsilon \,\partial_j v_j + \left(\partial_j v_k\right) T_{jk} + \partial_j q_j = 0$$

Evolution of shear stress

$$\partial_t T_{jk} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} T_{jkl} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} v_j\right) T_{kl} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} v_k\right) T_{jl} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} v_l\right) T_{jk} + v_l \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} T_{jk} = 0$$

• If $\varepsilon = q_j = T_{jk} = T_{jkl} = \ldots = 0$ at some time t_0 , the moment equations imply $\varepsilon = q_j = T_{jk} = T_{jkl} = 0$ also at later times $t > t_0$

Shell crossing

- The approximation $\varepsilon = q_j = T_{jk} = T_{jkl} = \ldots = 0$ is called single stream approximation
- Corresponds to a phase space density

$$f(x,\vec{p}) = \frac{\rho(x)}{m} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - m\vec{v}(x))$$

• However, phase space manifolds can can wind up



• For multi-stream flow

$$f(x, \vec{p}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\text{streams}}(x)} \frac{\rho_n(x)}{m} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}_n - m\vec{v}(x))$$

all moments are in general non-vanishing

Dissipative properties

Viscosities

- Diffusive transport of momentum [Maxwell (1860)]
- Depend strongly on interaction properties
- Example: non-relativistic gas of particles with mass m, mean peculiar velocity \bar{v} , elastic $2 \rightarrow 2$ cross-section $\sigma_{\rm el}$

$$\eta = \frac{m \, \bar{v}}{3 \, \sigma_{\rm el}} \qquad \qquad \zeta = 0$$

• Formally, for cold, collision-less dark matter, this is 0/0...

Entropy production

• For dilute gases, described by kinetic theory, Boltzmann's H-theorem:

 $\partial_t H \sim \sigma_{\rm el}.$

• In general, dissipation could contain interesting additional information about dark matter

Self-interaction of dark matter



Gravitational lensing and x-ray image of "bullet cluster" 1E0657-56

 \bullet so far: dark matter is non-interacting \rightarrow can collide without stopping

$$\frac{\sigma_{\rm el}}{m} \lesssim 1.2 \, \frac{{\rm cm}^2}{{\rm g}}$$

Is dark matter self-interacting?



- Offset between stars and dark matter falling into cluster
- Is this a first indication for a dark matter self-interaction?

$$rac{\sigma_{\mathsf{el}}}{m} pprox 3 rac{\mathsf{cm}^2}{\mathsf{g}} pprox 0.5 rac{\mathsf{b}}{\mathsf{GeV}}$$
 (under debate)

[Kahlhoefer, Schmidt-Hoberg, Kummer & Sarkar, MNRAS 452, 1 (2015)]

$Cosmological\ inhomogeneities$

Cosmological perturbation theory

[Lifshitz, Peebles, Bardeen, Kosama, Sasaki, Ehler, Ellis, Hawking, Mukhanov, Weinberg, ...]

- Solves evolution equations for fluid + gravity
- Expands in perturbations around homogeneous background
- Detailed understanding how different modes evolve
- Diagramatic formalism for non-linear mode-mode interactions

Cosmological fluid

- Very simple equations of state $p = w \epsilon$
- Viscosities usually neglected $\eta = \zeta = 0$
- Photons and neutrinos are free streaming

Initial conditions

- Perturbations are classified into scalars, vectors, tensors
- Vector modes are decaying, need not be specified
- Tensor modes are gravitational waves, can be neglected for most purposes
- Decaying scalar modes also not relevant
- Growing scalar modes are further classified by wavelength
- For relevant range of wavelength: close to Gaussian probability distribution
- Almost scale invariant initial spectrum of density contrast $\delta({\bf k}) = \delta \epsilon({\bf k})/\bar{\epsilon}$

$$\langle \delta(\mathbf{k}) \, \delta(\mathbf{k}') \rangle = P(k) \, \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}')$$

with

$$P(k) \sim k^{n_s-1}$$
 $n_s = 0.968 \pm 0.006$ [Planck (2015)]

Cosmological structure formation

- Formation of large scale structure
 - tests physics of dark matter
 - tests physics of dark energy
 - gets tested by missions like Euclid
- Cosmological perturbation theory breaks down when density contrast

$$\delta({\bf k}) = \frac{\delta \epsilon({\bf k})}{\bar{\epsilon}} \gg 1$$

grows large at late times and for small scales

- Numerical simulations (*N*-body) are expensive and time-consuming
- One would like to have better analytical understanding



[Springel, Frenk & White, Nature 440, 1137 (2006)]

Approximations for large scale cosmology

• cold dark matter, single-stream approximation: ideal, pressure-less fluid

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon \ u^{\mu} u^{\nu}$$

Covariant conservation law

$$u^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}\epsilon + \epsilon\nabla_{\mu}u^{\mu} = 0, \qquad \epsilon u^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}u^{\nu} = 0.$$

• only scalar perturbations: no vorticity, fluid velocity characterized by velocity divergence

$$\theta = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}$$

ullet metric with scale factor and Newtonian potential ϕ

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\tau) \left[-(1 + 2\Phi(\tau, \vec{x})) d\tau^{2} + (1 - 2\Phi(\tau, \vec{x})) d\vec{x} d\vec{x} \right]$$

where

$$\Delta \Phi(\tau, \vec{x}) = 4\pi G_{\mathsf{N}} \ \delta \epsilon(\tau, \vec{x})$$

• dynamical fields can be taken as

$$\phi_1(\tau, \vec{k}) = \delta(\tau, \vec{k}) = \frac{\delta\epsilon(\tau, \vec{k})}{\bar{\epsilon}(\tau)}, \qquad \qquad \phi_2(\tau, \vec{k}) = -\frac{\theta(\tau, \vec{k})}{\mathcal{H}(\tau)}$$

Equations of motion and action

• Evolution equations for fluid + gravity

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\eta}\phi_{a}(\vec{k}) &= -\Omega_{ab}(\eta)\phi_{b}(\vec{k}) + \int d^{3}p\,d^{3}q\,\delta^{(3)}(\vec{k}-\vec{p}-\vec{q})\gamma_{abc}(\vec{p},\vec{q},\eta)\,\phi_{b}(\vec{p})\,\phi_{c}(\vec{q}) \end{split}$$
 with $\eta &= \ln a(\tau),$

$$\Omega(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -\frac{3}{2}\Omega_m & 1 + \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and vertices

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{121}(\vec{q},\vec{p},\eta) &= \gamma_{112}(\vec{p},\vec{q},\eta) = \frac{(\vec{p}+\vec{q})\vec{q}}{2\vec{q}^2}\\ \gamma_{222}(\vec{p},\vec{q},\eta) &= \frac{(\vec{p}+\vec{q})^2\vec{p}\cdot\vec{q}}{2\vec{p}^2\vec{q}^2} \end{split}$$

• Can also be obtained from variation of the action

$$S[\phi,\chi] = \int d\eta \left[\int d^3k \, \chi_a(-\vec{k},\eta) \left(\delta_{ab}\partial_\eta + \Omega_{ab}\right) \phi_b(\vec{k},\eta) \right.$$
$$\left. - \int d^3k \, d^3p \, d^3q \, \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k}-\vec{p}-\vec{q})\gamma_{abc}(\vec{k},\vec{p},\vec{q})\chi_a(-\vec{k},\eta)\phi_b(\vec{p},\eta)\phi_c(\vec{q},\eta) \right]$$

Partition function

- introduce sources
- integrate over Gaussian distributed initial conditions
- leads to the partition function

$$Z[J, K; P^{0}] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\chi \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\chi_{a}(\eta_{0})P_{ab}^{0}\chi_{b}(\eta_{0})\right.$$
$$\left.+i\int d\eta \left[\chi_{a}(\delta_{ab}\partial_{\eta}+\Omega_{ab})\phi_{b}-\gamma_{abc}\chi_{a}\phi_{b}\phi_{c}+J_{a}\phi_{a}+K_{b}\chi_{b}\right]\right\}$$

• correlation functions can be obtained by functional derivatives

Schwinger functional

• Schwinger functional is defined as

$$W[J, K; P^0] = -i \log Z[J, K; P^0].$$

• Propagators and correlation functions follow as functional derivatives

$$\frac{\delta^{2}W}{\delta J_{a}(-\vec{k},\eta)\,\delta J_{b}(\vec{k}',\eta')}\Big|_{J,\,K=0} = i\delta(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')\,P_{ab}(\vec{k},\eta,\eta') \\
\frac{\delta^{2}W}{\delta J_{a}(-\vec{k},\eta)\,\delta K_{b}(\vec{k}',\eta')}\Big|_{J,\,K=0} = -\delta(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')\,G^{R}_{ab}(\vec{k},\eta,\eta') \\
\frac{\delta^{2}W}{\delta K_{a}(-\vec{k},\eta)\,\delta J_{b}(\vec{k}',\eta')}\Big|_{J,\,K=0} = -\delta(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')\,G^{A}_{ab}(\vec{k},\eta,\eta') \\
\frac{\delta^{2}W}{\delta K_{a}(-\vec{k},\eta)\,\delta K_{b}(\vec{k}',\eta')}\Big|_{J,\,K=0} = 0$$

Effective action and renormalized field equations

• Effective action is defined as Legendre transform

$$\Gamma[\phi,\chi;P^0] = \int d\eta d^3x \left\{ J_a \phi_a + K_b \chi_b \right\} - W[J,K;P^0],$$

with expectation values

$$\phi_a(\vec{k},\eta) = \frac{\delta}{\delta J_a(\vec{k},\eta)} W, \qquad \chi_b(\vec{k},\eta) = \frac{\delta}{\delta K_b(\vec{k},\eta)} W$$

• Renormalized field equations

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi_a(\vec{x},\eta)}\Gamma[\phi,\chi] = J_a(\vec{x},\eta)$$
$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\chi_a(\vec{x},\eta)}\Gamma[\phi,\chi] = K_a(\vec{x},\eta)$$

Coarse-grained effective action and functional RG equation

- Effective action $\Gamma[\phi, \chi]$ contains effect of initial state fluctuations
- Idea: take them into account gradually organized by scale
- Modify initial spectrum by cutting off the IR

 $P_k^0(\vec{q}) = P^0(\vec{q})\Theta(|\vec{q}| - k)$

lowering k takes more and more IR modes into account

• k-dependent Schwinger functional

 $W_k[J,K] \equiv W[J,K;P_k^0]$

satisfies exact flow equation [Polchinski 1984]

$$\partial_k W_k[J,K] = \frac{i}{2} \int_q \partial_k (P_k^0(\vec{q}))_{ab} \left\{ \chi_a(\vec{q},0)\chi_b(-\vec{q},0) - i \frac{\delta^2 W_k[J,K]}{\delta K_a(\vec{q},0)\delta K_b(-\vec{q},0)} \right\}$$

Modified effective action satisfies exact flow equation [Wetterich 1993]

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k[\phi, \chi] = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \left(\Gamma_k^{(2)}[\phi, \chi] - i \left(P_k^0 - P^0 \right) \right)^{-1} \partial_k P_k^0 \right\}$$

Boundary values

• For large regulator scale k, fluctuations are suppressed

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \Gamma_k[\phi, \chi] = -S[\phi, \chi] - \frac{i}{2} \int_{\vec{q}} \chi_a(-\vec{q}, 0) P^0_{ab}(\vec{q}) \chi_b(\vec{q}, 0)$$

• For small regulator scale k, all fluctuations are included

 $\lim_{k\to 0} \Gamma_k[\phi, \chi] = \Gamma[\phi, \chi]$

Flow equations for correlation functions

• Flow equations for correlation functions have one-loop form, for example inverse retarded propagator

$$\begin{split} \partial_k D^R_{ab,k}(\vec{q},\eta,\eta') = & \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ W^{(2)}_k \frac{\delta \Gamma^{(2)}_k}{\delta \chi_a(-\vec{q},\eta)} W^{(2)}_k \frac{\delta \Gamma^{(2)}_k}{\delta \phi_b(\vec{q}',\eta')} W^{(2)}_k \partial_k P^0_k \right\} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ W^{(2)}_k \frac{\delta \Gamma^{(2)}_k}{\delta \phi_b(\vec{q}',\eta')} W^{(2)}_k \frac{\delta \Gamma^{(2)}_k}{\delta \chi_a(-\vec{q},\eta)} W^{(2)}_k \partial_k P^0_k \right\} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ W^{(2)}_k \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma^{(2)}_k}{\delta \chi_a(-\vec{q},\eta) \, \delta \phi_b(\vec{q}',\eta')} W^{(2)}_k \partial_k P^0_k \right\} \end{split}$$



Solving the flow equation for Γ_k

• Flow equation for $\Gamma_k[\phi, \chi]$ can be solved by iteration \rightarrow Standard perturbation theory

$$\Gamma_k[\phi,\chi] = -S[\phi,\chi] + \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \ln \left(-S^{(2)}[\phi,\chi] - i(P_k^0 - P_0) \right) \right\} + \dots$$

• Within truncations, one can also find approximate, non-perturbative solutions. Make ansatz

$$\Gamma_k[\phi,\chi] = \int d\eta \, d^3x \sum_{j=1}^N \, \alpha_j(k) \mathcal{O}_j[\phi,\chi]$$

and derive flow equations for the coefficients $\alpha_j(k)$

$$k\frac{\partial}{\partial k}\alpha_j=\beta_j(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_N)$$

Renormalization of effective viscosity and pressure

[Floerchinger, Garny, Tetradis & Wiedemann, 1607.03453]

- Effective theory at scale \boldsymbol{k} has additional terms in equations of motion
- Order them by fluid dynamic derivative expansion
- Lowest order: ideal fluid
- Next-to-lowest order: effective sound velocity parameter

$$\gamma_s = \frac{c_s^2}{\mathcal{H}^2} = \frac{dp/d\epsilon}{\mathcal{H}^2}.$$

and effective viscosity parameter (for compressional modes)

$$\gamma_{\nu} = \frac{4\eta/3 + \zeta}{(\epsilon + p)\mathcal{H}a} \,.$$

• Both depend on flow parameter k and scale factor a

$$\gamma_s = \lambda_s \, a^{\kappa}, \qquad \qquad \gamma_{\nu} = \lambda_{\nu} \, a^{\kappa}$$

with k-dependent parameters $\lambda_s(k)$, $\lambda_{\nu}(k)$ and $\kappa(k)$.

RG flow of effective sound velocity parameter

[Floerchinger, Garny, Tetradis & Wiedemann, 1607.03453]



- left: RG flow of effective sound velocity today $\lambda_s = \frac{c_s^2}{H_0^2} = \frac{dp/d\rho}{H_0^2}$
 - dashed line: one-loop approximation

$$\partial_k \lambda_s = -\frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{31}{70} P^0(k)$$

- solid line: functional RG result
- right: linear density power spectrum

RG flow of effective viscosity parameter

[Floerchinger, Garny, Tetradis & Wiedemann, 1607.03453]



- left: RG flow of effective viscosity today $\lambda_{\nu} = \frac{4\eta/3+\zeta}{(\rho+p)_0H_0}$
 - dashed line: one-loop approximation

$$\partial_k \lambda_\nu = -\frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{78}{35} P^0(k)$$

- solid line: functional RG
- right: linear density power spectrum

RG flow of exponent κ

[Floerchinger, Garny, Tetradis & Wiedemann, 1607.03453]



- left: RG flow of exponent κ
 - dashed line: one-loop approximation

$$\partial_k \kappa = \frac{4\pi}{3} P^0(k) \frac{78(\kappa - 2)}{35\tilde{\lambda}_{\nu}}$$

- solid line: functional RG
- right: linear density power spectrum

Fixed point behavior



- \bullet growing mode is sensitive to $\lambda_s+\lambda_\nu$
- functional RG has IR fixed points

Functional RG + perturbation theory [Blas, Floerchinger, Garny, Tetradis & Wiedemann, JCAP 1511, 049 (2015)] [Floerchinger, Garny, Tetradis & Wiedemann, 1607.03453]

- RG evolution to determine effective viscosity and sound velocity at intermediate scale k_m
- Perturbation theory for power spectrum for scales $0 < |\mathbf{q}| < k_m$
- Theory with effective viscosity and sound velocity parameters
- Background + linear + one-loop + two-loop



 $P_{\delta\delta}(k,z=0)$, SPT with cutoff

Conclusions

- Structure formation in the cosmological fluid is dominated by dark matter.
- Would be highly interesting to constrain different dark matter models via the fluid properties.
- A better understanding of non-linear structure formation is needed.
- Field theory and renormalization group techniques can help here.
- Taking into account initial state fluctuations leads to an effective theory, which, to leading order in a derivative expansion, can be characterized by effective viscosity and sound velocity terms.
- First numerical results look promising and agree well with N-body simulations.

Backup slides

"Fundamental" and "effective" viscosity

Two types of viscosities for cosmological fluid

Ø Momentum transport by particles or radiation

- governed by interactions
- from linear response theory [Green (1954), Kubo (1957)]
- close to equilibrium

Ø Momentum transport in the inhomogeneous, coarse-grained fluid

- · governed by non-linear fluid mode couplings
- determined perturbatively [Blas, Floerchinger, Garny, Tetradis & Wiedemann]
- non-equilibrium
- heavy ions: anomalous plasma viscosity [Asakawa, Bass & Müller (2006)] eddy viscosity [Romatschke (2008)]

Power spectrum at different redshifts



 $P_{\delta\delta}(k,z=0.375), k_m = 0.6h/Mpc$



Velocity spectra



Power spectrum, standard perturbation theory



[D. Blas, M. Garny and T. Konstandin, JCAP 1309 (2013) 024]

 $Could\ dissipation\ affect\ the\ overall\ cosmological\ expansion\ ?$

Bulk viscosity

• Bulk viscous pressure is negative for expanding universe

 $\pi_{\mathsf{bulk}} = -\zeta \, \nabla_\mu u^\mu = -\zeta \, 3H < 0$

Negative effective pressure

$$p_{\mathsf{eff}} = p + \pi_{\mathsf{bulk}} < 0$$

would act similar to dark energy in Friedmann's equations [Murphy (1973), Padmanabhan & Chitre (1987), Fabris, Goncalves & de Sa Ribeiro (2006), Li & Barrow (2009), Velten & Schwarz (2011), Gagnon & Lesgourgues (2011), ...]

- Is negative effective pressure physical?
- In context of heavy ion physics: instability for p_{eff} < 0 ("cavitation") [Torrieri & Mishustin (2008), Rajagopal & Tripuraneni (2010), Buchel, Camanho & Edelstein (2014), Habich & Romatschke (2015), Denicol, Gale & Jeon (2015)]
- What precisely happens at the instability?

Is negative effective pressure physical?

Kinetic theory

$$p_{\rm eff}(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\vec{p}^2}{3E_{\vec{p}}} f(x, \vec{p}) \ge 0$$

Stability argument



If there is a vacuum with $\epsilon = p_{\text{eff}} = 0$, phases with $p_{\text{eff}} < 0$ cannot be mechanically stable. (But could be metastable.)

Bulk viscosity in heavy ion physics

- In heavy ion physics people start now to consider bulk viscosity.
- Becomes relevant close to chiral crossover



[Denicol, Gale & Jeon (2015)]

- Is there a first-order phase transition triggered by the expansion?
- What is the relation to chemical and kinetic freeze-out?
- More detailed understanding needed, both for heavy ion physics and cosmology

Backreaction: General idea

• for 0+1 dimensional, non-linear dynamics

$$\dot{\varphi} = f(\varphi) = f_0 + f_1 \varphi + \frac{1}{2} f_2 \varphi^2 + \dots$$

- one has for expectation values $\bar{\varphi}=\langle \varphi \rangle$

$$\dot{\bar{\varphi}} = f_0 + f_1 \,\bar{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} f_2 \,\bar{\varphi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_2 \,\langle (\varphi - \bar{\varphi})^2 \rangle + \dots$$

- evolution equation for expectation value $\bar{\varphi}$ depends on two-point correlation function or spectrum $P_2 = \langle (\varphi \bar{\varphi})^2 \rangle$
- evolution equation for spectrum depends on bispectrum and so on
- more complicated for higher dimensional theories
- more complicated for gauge theories such as gravity

Backreaction in gravity

- Einstein's equations are non-linear.
- Important question [G. F. R. Ellis (1984)]: If Einstein's field equations describe small scales, including inhomogeneities, do they also hold on large scales?
- Is there a sizable backreaction from inhomogeneities to the cosmological expansion?
- Difficult question, has been studied by many people
 [Ellis & Stoeger (1987); Mukhanov, Abramo & Brandenberger (1997); Unruh (1998); Buchert (2000); Geshnzjani & Brandenberger (2002); Schwarz (2002); Wetterich (2003); Räsänen (2004); Kolb, Matarrese & Riotto (2006); Brown, Behrend, Malik (2009); Gasperini, Marozzi & Veneziano (2009); Clarkson & Umeh (2011); Green & Wald (2011); ...]
- Recent reviews: [Buchert & Räsänen, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 62, 57 (2012); Green & Wald, Class. Quant. Grav. 31, 234003 (2014)]
- No general consensus but most people believe now that gravitational backreaction is rather small.
- In the following we look at a new **backreaction on the matter side** of Einstein's equations.

Fluid equation for energy density

First order viscous fluid dynamics

$$u^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\epsilon + (\epsilon + p)\nabla_{\mu}u^{\mu} - \zeta\Theta^2 - 2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

For $\vec{v}^2 \ll c^2$ and Newtonian potentials $\Phi, \Psi \ll 1$ $\dot{\epsilon} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \epsilon + (\epsilon + p) \left(3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}\right)$

$$= \frac{\zeta}{a} \left[3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} \right]^2 + \frac{\eta}{a} \left[\partial_i v_j \partial_i v_j + \partial_i v_j \partial_j v_i - \frac{2}{3} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v})^2 \right]$$

Fluid dynamic backreaction in Cosmology

[Floerchinger, Tetradis & Wiedemann, PRL 114, 091301 (2015)]

Expectation value of energy density $\bar{\epsilon} = \langle \epsilon \rangle$

$$\frac{1}{a}\dot{\bar{\epsilon}} + 3H\left(\bar{\epsilon} + \bar{p} - 3\bar{\zeta}H\right) = D$$

with dissipative backreaction term

$$\begin{split} D &= \frac{1}{a^2} \langle \eta \left[\partial_i v_j \partial_i v_j + \partial_i v_j \partial_j v_i - \frac{2}{3} \partial_i v_i \partial_j v_j \right] \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{a^2} \langle \zeta [\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}]^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{a} \langle \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \left(p - 6 \zeta H \right) \rangle \end{split}$$

- D vanishes for unperturbed homogeneous and isotropic universe
- D has contribution from shear & bulk viscous dissipation and thermodynamic work done by contraction against pressure gradients
- dissipative terms in D are positive semi-definite
- for spatially constant viscosities and scalar perturbations only

$$D = \frac{\bar{\zeta} + \frac{4}{3}\bar{\eta}}{a^2} \int d^3q \ P_{\theta\theta}(q)$$

Dissipation of perturbations

[Floerchinger, Tetradis & Wiedemann, PRL 114, 091301 (2015)]

• Dissipative backreaction does not need negative effective pressure

```
\frac{1}{a}\dot{\bar{\epsilon}} + 3H\left(\bar{\epsilon} + \bar{p}_{\text{eff}}\right) = D
```

- D is an integral over perturbations, could become large at late times.
- Can it potentially accelerate the universe?
- $\bullet\,$ Need additional equation for scale parameter a
- Use trace of Einstein's equations $R=8\pi G_{
 m N}T^{\mu}_{\ \mu}$

 $\frac{1}{a}\dot{H} + 2H^2 = \frac{4\pi G_{\mathsf{N}}}{3}\left(\bar{\epsilon} - 3\bar{p}_{\mathsf{eff}}\right)$

does not depend on unknown quantities like $\langle (\epsilon + p_{\rm eff}) u^\mu u^\nu \rangle$

• To close the equations one needs equation of state $\bar{p}_{\rm eff}=\bar{p}_{\rm eff}(\bar{\epsilon})$ and dissipation parameter D

Deceleration parameter

[Floerchinger, Tetradis & Wiedemann, PRL 114, 091301 (2015)]

- \bullet assume now vanishing effective pressure $\bar{p}_{\rm eff}=0$
- \bullet obtain for deceleration parameter $q=-1-\frac{\dot{H}}{aH^2}$

$$-\frac{dq}{d\ln a} + 2(q-1)\left(q - \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{4\pi G_{\rm N}D}{3H^3}$$

- for D = 0 attractive fixed point at $q_* = \frac{1}{2}$ (deceleration)
- for D > 0 fixed point shifted towards $q_* < 0$ (acceleration)



Estimating viscous backreaction D

- For $\frac{4\pi G_{\rm N}D}{3H^3} \approx 4$ one could explain the current accelerated expansion $(q \approx -0.6)$ by dissipative backreaction.
- Is this possible?
- In principle one can determine *D* for given equation of state and viscous properties from dynamics of structure formation.
- So far only rough estimates. If shear viscosity dominates:

$$D = \frac{1}{a^2} \langle \eta \left[\partial_i v_j \partial_i v_j + \partial_i v_j \partial_j v_i - \frac{2}{3} \partial_i v_i \partial_j v_j \right] \rangle \approx c_D \bar{\eta} H^2$$

with $c_D = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Corresponds to $\Delta v \approx 100 \text{ km/s}$ for $\Delta x \approx 1 \text{ MPc}$ • Leads to

$$\frac{4\pi G_{\rm N}D}{3H^3} \approx \frac{c_D\bar{\eta}H}{2\rho_c}$$

with $\rho_c = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G_N}$

Viscosities

• Relativistic particles / radiation contribute to shear viscosity

 $\eta = c_\eta \epsilon_R \tau_R$

- prefactor $c_{\eta} = \mathcal{O}(1)$
- energy density of radiation ϵ_R
- mean free time au_R
- Bulk viscosity vanishes in situations with conformal symmetry but can be large when conformal symmetry is broken.
- For massive scalar particles with $\lambda arphi^4$ interaction [Jeon & Yaffe (1996)]

$$\zeta \sim \frac{m^6}{\lambda^4 T^3} e^{2m/T}, \qquad \eta \sim \frac{m^{5/2} T^{1/2}}{\lambda^2} \qquad \text{for} \qquad \frac{T}{m} \ll 1$$

Estimating viscous backreaction D

Consider shear viscosity from radiation

 $\eta = c_{\eta} \epsilon_R \tau_R$

Backreaction term

$$\frac{4\pi G_{\rm N}D}{3H^3}\approx \frac{c_D c_\eta}{2}\frac{\epsilon_R}{\rho_c}\tau_R H$$

- fluid approximation needs $\tau_R H < 1$
- for sizeable effect one would need $\epsilon_R/\rho_c=\mathcal{O}(1)$
- unlikely that D becomes large enough in this scenario Needed refinements:
 - full dynamics of perturbations
 - second order fluid dynamics
 - complete model(s)

Could viscous backreaction lead to ΛCDM -type expansion?

[Floerchinger, Tetradis & Wiedemann, 1506.00407]

- Backreaction term D(z) will be *some* function of redshift.
- For given dissipative properties D(z) can be determined, but calculation is involved.
- One may ask simpler question: For what form of D(z) would the expansion be as in the $\Lambda {\rm CDM}$ model?
- $\bullet~$ The $\mathit{ad}~\mathit{hoc}~\mathrm{ansatz}~D(z)=\mathrm{const}\cdot H(z)$ leads to modified Friedmann equations

$$\bar{\epsilon} - \frac{D}{4H} = \frac{3}{8\pi G_{\rm N}} H^2, \qquad \qquad \bar{p}_{\rm eff} - \frac{D}{12H} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G_{\rm N}} \left(2\frac{1}{a}\dot{H} + 3H^2 \right)$$

• In terms of
$$\hat{\epsilon} = \bar{\epsilon} - \frac{D}{3H}$$
 one can write

$$\frac{1}{a}\dot{\hat{\epsilon}} + 3H(\hat{\epsilon} + \bar{p}_{\text{eff}}) = 0, \qquad \qquad R + \frac{8\pi G_{\text{N}}D}{3H} = -8\pi G_{\text{N}}(\hat{\epsilon} - 3\bar{p}_{\text{eff}})$$

• For $\bar{p}_{\rm eff}=0$ these are standard equations for $\Lambda {\rm CDM}$ model with

$$\Lambda = \frac{2\pi G_{\mathsf{N}} D}{3H}$$

Modification of Friedmann's equations by backreaction 1

- For universe with fluid velocity inhomogeneities one cannot easily take direct average of Einstein's equations.
- However, fluid equation for energy density and trace of Einstein's equations can be used.
- By integration one finds modified Friedmann equation

$$H(\tau)^2 = \frac{8\pi G_{\rm N}}{3} \left[\bar{\epsilon}(\tau) - \int_{\tau_{\rm I}}^{\tau} d\tau' \left(\frac{a(\tau')}{a(\tau)} \right)^4 a(\tau') D(\tau') \right]$$

- \bullet Additive deviation from Friedmann's law for $D(\tau')>0$
- Part of the total energy density is due to dissipative production

 $\bar{\epsilon} = \bar{\epsilon}_{nd} + \bar{\epsilon}_{d}$

Assume for dissipatively produced part

$$\dot{\bar{\epsilon}}_{\mathsf{d}} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}(1+\hat{w}_{\mathsf{d}})\bar{\epsilon}_{\mathsf{d}} = aD$$

Modification of Friedmann's equations by backreaction 2

Leads to another variant of Friedmann's equation

$$H(\tau)^2 = \frac{8\pi G_{\rm N}}{3} \left[\bar{\epsilon}_{\rm nd}(\tau) + \int_{\tau_{\rm l}}^{\tau} d\tau' \left[\left(\frac{a(\tau')}{a(\tau)} \right)^{3+3\hat{w}_{\rm d}} - \left(\frac{a(\tau')}{a(\tau)} \right)^4 \right] a(\tau') D(\tau') \right]$$

- If the dissipative backreaction D produces pure radiation, $\hat{w}_{\rm d}=1/3,$ it does not show up in effective Friedmann equation at all!
- For $\hat{w}_d < 1/3$ there is a new component with positive contribution on the right hand side of the effective Friedmann equation.
- To understand expansion, parametrize for late times

$$D(\tau) = H(\tau) \left(\frac{a(\tau)}{a(\tau_0)}\right)^{-\kappa} \tilde{D}$$

with constants \tilde{D} and κ .

• Hubble parameter as function of $(a_0/a) = 1 + z$

$$H(a) = H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_{\Lambda} + \Omega_M \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^3 + \Omega_R \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^4 + \Omega_D \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^{\kappa}}$$

• For $\kappa \approx 0$ the role of Ω_{Λ} and Ω_D would be similar.