Analytic continuation of functional renormalization group equations

Stefan Flörchinger (CERN)

ERG 2012, Aussois, 03/09/2012

based on JHEP 05, 021 (2012), arXiv:1112.4374.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

What is functional renormalization?

- Gives a formulation of quantum and statistical field theories.
- Tool to solve difficult non-perturbative problems and answer questions such as
  - What are the critical exponents at classical phase transitions?
  - What are the phases of the Hubbard model?
  - Is Gravity asymptotically safe?
- But is it only a reformulation and a tool for special purposes or is it more?
- Here I want to argue: Functional RG is much more and can be used to solve one of the biggest problems in modern physics!

# The complexity problem

Arises in many ways in modern physics (and other sciences...):

- Many degrees of freedom.
- Fundamental or microscopic laws are known.
- Consequences of the fundamental laws for the macroscopic or collective behavior are not known.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

• Calculations are simply getting too complex.

## What we aim for

- Simple but precise macroscopic laws.
- They should be derived from microscopic laws including values for all relevant coupling constants.
- Real theoretical understanding of complex phenomena and not only numerical simulations.
- A formalism that is sufficiently general to be used for a large class of problems and is not based on specific *a priori* knowledge from other approaches or experiments.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

### How to reduce the complex to the essential?

- We have to loose information. But which one?
- RG theory can provide information on this: Think about classification of coupling constants into relevant, marginal and irrelevant close to a Gaussian fixed point.
- But: Exact functional RG equation alone does not yet solve the complexity problem!
- We need: Simple and efficient approximate solutions.
- From experience: Quantum field theories at a particular scale often well described in terms of some sort of quasi-particles:
  - May be composite particles or collective fields.
  - Different scales can be dominated by different collective fields.

- Transition regions are more complicated.
- A formalism that uses this could be rather helpful.
- How to find the right composite fields?
- How to describe them efficiently?

#### Singular structures matter

- Physical propagating degrees of freedom are characterized by a pole or cut in the correlation function.
- A pole in the propagator corresponds to a stable particle, a cut corresponds to a resonance.
- Many technical methods e.g. to perform Matsubara summations use the analytic structures and at the end one needs the residue at a pole or the integral along a cut.
- Idea: Concentrate on the singular structures and describe them by as few parameters as possible.
- Singular structures in vertex functions can be described efficiently using scale-dependent Hubbard-Stratonovich transformations.

Physics takes place in Minkowski space

- Many singular structures can only be properly seen in Minkowski space. (In Euclidean space there are some at  $\vec{p} = 0$ for massless particles or at Fermi surfaces.)
- Numerical approaches have difficulties with singularities and try to avoid them as far as possible (and therefore usually work in Euclidean space).
- But: Singularities in correlation functions are physical and very important. We should not be afraid of them!
- Functional renormalization as a semi-analytic method has the potential to cope well with singularities but is mainly used in Euclidean space so far.
- Idea followed here: Derive flow equations directly for real time properties by using analytic continuation.

Analytic structure of the effective action

Consider the Quantum effective action

$$\Gamma[\phi] = \int_x J\phi - W[J].$$

The propagator

$$\Gamma^{(2)}(p,p') = (2\pi)^d \delta^{(d)}(p-p') \ G^{-1}(p)$$

has the Källen-Lehmann spectral representation

$$G(p) = \int_0^\infty d\mu^2 \ \rho(\mu^2) \frac{1}{p^2 + \mu^2}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

This holds both for

- Euclidean space:  $p^2 = \vec{p}^2 + p_4^2$
- Minkowski space:  $p^2 = -p_0^2 + \vec{p}^2$

#### Propagator in Minkowski space

Consider  $p_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  as complex. Close to real  $p_0$  axis one has

• From spectral representation

$$P(p) = G(p)^{-1} = P_1(p_0^2 - \vec{p}^2) - i \, s(p_0) \, P_2(p_0^2 - \vec{p}^2)$$

with

 $s(p_0) = \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Re} p_0) \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} p_0)$ 

and real functions  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .

- Nonzero P<sub>2</sub> leads to a branch cut in the propagator: The imaginary part of P(p) jumps at the real p<sub>0</sub> axis.
- Physical implication of non-zero  $P_2$  is non-zero decay width of quasi-particles (finite life-time).

#### Analytic continuation setup

- Keep on working with Euclidean space functional integral.
- Definition of  $\Gamma_k$  and flow equation remains unchanged,

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k[\phi] = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}(\Gamma_k^{(2)}[\phi] + R_k)^{-1} \partial_k R_k.$$

- Choose cutoff function  $R_k$  with correct properties for Euclidean argument  $p^2 > 0$ 
  - $R_k(p^2) \to \infty$  for  $k \to \infty$  (implies  $\Gamma_k[\phi] \to S[\phi]$ )
  - $R_k(p^2) \to 0$  for  $k \to 0$  (implies  $\Gamma_k[\phi] \to \Gamma[\phi]$ )
  - $R_k(p^2) > 0$ ,  $R_k(p^2) \to 0$  for  $p^2 \gg k^2$
- Flow equations for *n*-point functions

 $\Gamma_{L}^{(n)}(p_{1},...,p_{n})$ 

are analytically continued towards the real frequency axis.

• Truncation uses expansion around real  $p_0$  (Minkowski space).  Derivative expansion in Minkowski space

- Consider a point  $p_0^2 \vec{p}^2 = m^2$  where  $P_1(m^2) = 0$ .
- One can expand around this point

$$P_1 = Z(-p_0^2 + \vec{p}^2 + m^2) + \cdots$$
  
 $P_2 = Z\gamma^2 + \cdots$ 

• Leads to Breit-Wigner form of propagator (with  $\gamma^2=m\Gamma$ )

$$G(p) = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{-p_0^2 + \vec{p}^2 + m^2 + i\,s(p_0)\,m\Gamma}{(-p_0^2 + \vec{p}^2 + m^2)^2 + m^2\Gamma^2}.$$

• A few flowing parameters describe efficiently the singular structure of the propagator.

# Choosing a regulator

- The analytic properties of correlation functions at k > 0 depend on the choice of R<sub>k</sub>(p).
- One would like to perform loop integrations analytically as far as possible to facilitate analytic continuation.
- Useful are the following choices

$$R_k(p_0, \vec{p}) = Zk^2 \frac{1}{1 + c_1 \left(\frac{-p_0^2 + \vec{p}^2}{k^2}\right) + c_2 \left(\frac{-p_0^2 + \vec{p}^2}{k^2}\right)^2 + \dots}.$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

• Allows to do the Matsubara summations analytically for truncation based on derivative expansion.

Truncation for relativistic scalar O(N) theory

$$\Gamma_{k} = \int_{t,\vec{x}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \bar{\phi}_{j} \bar{P}_{\phi}(i\partial_{t}, -i\vec{\nabla}) \bar{\phi}_{j} + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\rho} \bar{P}_{\rho}(i\partial_{t}, -i\vec{\nabla}) \bar{\rho} + \bar{U}_{k}(\bar{\rho}) \right\}$$

with  $\bar{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \bar{\phi}_j^2$ .

• Goldstone propagator massless, expanded around  $p_0 - \vec{p}^2 = 0$  $\bar{P}_{\phi}(p_0, \vec{p}) \approx \bar{Z}_{\phi} (-p_0^2 + \vec{p}^2)$ 

 $\bullet\,$  Radial mode is massive, expanded around  $p_0^2-\vec{p}^2=m_1^2$ 

Flow of the effective potential

$$\begin{split} \partial_t U_k(\rho) \Big|_{\bar{\rho}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{p_0 = i\omega_n, \vec{p}} \left\{ \frac{(N-1)}{\bar{p}^2 - p_0^2 + U' + \frac{1}{\bar{Z}_{\phi}} R_k} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{Z_1 \left[ (\bar{p}^2 - p_0^2) - i \, s(p_0) \gamma_1^2 \right] + U' + 2\rho U'' + \frac{1}{\bar{Z}_{\phi}} R_k} \left. \right\} \frac{1}{\bar{Z}_{\phi}} \partial_t R_k. \end{split}$$

- Summation over Matsubara frequencies  $p_0 = i2\pi Tn$  can be done using contour integrals.
- Radial mode has non-zero decay width since it can decay into Goldstone excitations.
- Use Taylor expansion for numerical calculations

$$U_k(\rho) = U_k(\rho_{0,k}) + m_k^2(\rho - \rho_{0,k}) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_k(\rho - \rho_{0,k})^2$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Flow of the interaction strength  $\lambda_k$ 



◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで

Flow of the minimum of the effective potential  $\rho_{0,k}$ 



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

# Flow of the propagator

Goldstone mode propagator characterized by anomalous dimension

$$\eta_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{\bar{Z}_{\phi}} k \partial_k \bar{Z}_{\phi}$$

Radial mode propagator

$$G_1 = \frac{1}{Z_1 \left[ (-p_0^2 + \vec{p}^2) - is(p_0)\gamma_1^2 \right] + 2\lambda_k \rho_0^2}$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

• flow equation for  $Z_1$  is evaluated in the standard way • flow equation for  $\gamma_1^2$  is evaluated from discontinuity at  $p_0=m_1\pm i\epsilon$ 

# Anomalous dimension $\eta_{\phi}$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 … のへぐ

Flow of the coefficient  $Z_1$ 



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

- black solid line: evaluation at  $p_0 = m_1$
- red dashed line: evaluation at  $p_0 = 0$

Flow of the discontinuity coefficient  $\gamma_1^2$ 



▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

- black solid line: evaluation at  $p_0 = m_1$
- red dashed line: evaluation at  $p_0 = 0$

### Conclusions

- Analytic continuation of flow equations is now possible.
- An improved derivative expansion in Minkowski space was developed.
- Many dynamical and linear response properties can now be calculated from functional renormalization.
- Together with *k*-dependent Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation this will allow for efficient truncations with few parameteres taking all singular structures into account.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Usefulness of formalism must be proven in applications.